AFTER RECORDING, RETURN TO:

Board of County Commissioners Columbia County Courthouse 230 Strand, Room 331 St. Helens, OR 97051

BEFORE THE COLUMBIA COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

In the Matter of Claim No. 07-77 Submitted)		
by John Crosley for Compensation Under)	Order No.	72-2007
Measure 37)		

WHEREAS, on December 4, 2006, Columbia County received a claim for compensation under Measure 37 and Order No. 34-2007 from John Crosley (the "Claimant"), related to a parcel of property located on Rock Creek Road in Vernonia, Oregon, having tax account number 5532-000-00500; and

WHEREAS, according to the information presented with the Claim, the Claimant acquired an interest in the property on December 21, 1977; and

WHEREAS, the County zoned the subject property as Primary Forest (PF-76) in 1984, after the acquisition by the Claimant; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Columbia County Zoning Ordinance (CCZO), Section 506.1, the minimum lot or parcel size for new land divisions in the PF-76 Zone is 76 acres; and

WHEREAS, the Claimant claims that the minimum lot size requirement for new land divisions has restricted the use of the property and has reduced the value of the property by \$246,000; and

WHEREAS, the Claimant desires to partition the property into two acre minimum lot size parcels; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Measure 37, in lieu of compensation the Board may opt to not apply (hereinafter referred to as "waive" or "waiver") any land use regulation that restricts the use of the property and reduces the fair market value of the property to allow a use which was allowed at the time the Claimant acquired the property; and

WHEREAS, in 1977, the Claimant could have divided the property into two acre minimum lot size parcels;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered as follows:

- The County adopts the findings of fact set forth in the Staff Report for Claim Number CL 07-123, dated April 5, 2007, which is attached hereto as Attachment 1, and is incorporated herein by this reference.
- 2. The County approves CL 07-123. In lieu of compensation, the County waives CCZO Sections 506.1 to the extent necessary to allow the Claimant to subdivide the property into four 2 acre minimum lot size parcels.
- This waiver is subject to the following limitations:
 - A. This waiver does not affect any land use regulations of the State of Oregon. If the use allowed herein remains prohibited by a State of Oregon land use regulation, the County will not approve an application for land division, other required land use permits or building permits for development of the property until the State has modified, amended or agreed not to apply any prohibitive regulation, or the prohibitive regulations are otherwise deemed not to apply pursuant to the provisions of Measure 37.
 - B. In approving this waiver, the County is relying on the accuracy, veracity, and completeness of information provided by the Claimant. If it is later determined that Claimant is not entitled to relief under Measure 37 due to the presentation of inaccurate information, or the omission of relevant information, the County may revoke this waiver.
 - C. Except as expressly waived herein, Claimant is required to meet all local laws, rules and regulations, including but not limited to laws, rules and regulations related to subdivision and partitioning, dwellings in the forest zone, and the building code.
 - D. This waiver is personal to the Claimant, John Crosley, as an individual, does not run with the land, and is not transferable except as may otherwise be required by law.
 - By developing the parcel in reliance on this waiver, Claimant does so at his own risk and expense. The County makes no representations about the legal effect of this waiver on the sale of lots resulting from any land division, on the rights of future land owners, or on any other person or property of any sort.

||| ||| ||| ||| |||

4.	This Order shall be recorded in the Colullegal description which is attached herein by this reference, without cost.	mbia County Deed Records, referencing the reto as Attachment 2, and is incorporated
	Dated this 16th day of 0	pail, 2007.
		BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON
Appro	ved as to form	By Jobel July 1946
Ву:	Sasah Hauson Assistant County Counsel	Todd Dugdale, Director Land Development Services

COLUMBIA COUNTY ATTACHMENT 1 LAND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Measure 37 Claim

Staff Report

DATE:

April 5, 2007

FILE NUMBERS:

CL 07-123

CLAIMANTS/OWNERS:

John Crosley

3225 Lavina

Forest Grove, OR 97116

CLAIMANT'S

REPRESENTATIVE:

NA

SUBJECT PROPERTY

PROPERTY LOCATION:

Rock Creek Road, Vernonia, Oregon

TAX ACCOUNT NUMBERS:

5532-000-00500

ZONING:

Primary Forest-76 (PF-76)

SIZE:

9.45 acres

EQUEST:

To divide the property into approximately two acre parcels for residential

development

CLAIM RECEIVED:

December 4, 2006

180 DAY DEADLINE:

May 30, 2007

NOTICE SENT:

March 20, 2007.

As of the date of this staff report no comments or request for hearing

have been received.

I. BACKGROUND:

The subject property is on Rock Creek Road in Vernonia, Oregon, and includes 9.45 acres.

II. APPLICABLE CRITERIA AND STAFF FINDINGS:

MEASURE 37

(1) If a public entity enacts or enforces a new land use regulation or enforces a land use regulation enacted prior to the effective date of this amendment that <u>restricts the use of private real property</u> or any interest therein and <u>has the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property</u>, or any interest therein, then the owner of the property shall be paid just compensation.

(2) Just compensation shall be equal to the reduction in the fair market value of the affected property interest resulting from enactment or enforcement of the land use regulation as of the date the owner makes written demand for compensation under this act.

A. PROPERTY OWNER AND OWNERSHIP INTERESTS:

- 1. **Current Ownership**: Claimant submitted a Preliminary Report issued by Ticor Title to Lawyers Title on November 1, 2006. The Report shows that as of October 27, 2006, the subject property was vested in John A. Crosley. There is a 1932 reservation of mineral rights in the deed
- 2. **Date of Acquisition**: Claimant purchased the subject property through an installment Real Estate Contract between Lloyd Whitcomb *et ux* and John A. Crosley dated December 21, 1977, and recorded at Book 215, page 366. Claimant received a fulfillment deed on March 6, 1995 (Deed recorded at 95-01750). Claimant's acquisition date is December 21, 1977.

B. LAND USE REGULATIONS IN EFFECT AT THE TIME OF ACQUISITION

The subject property was not zoned at the time of Claimant's acquisition of the property in 1977.

C. LAND USE REGULATION(S) APPLICABLE TO SUBJECT PROPERTY ALLEGED TO HAVE REDUCED FAIR MARKET VALUE/EFFECTIVE DATES/CLAIMANT ELIGIBILITY

Claimant alleges that the subject property's fair market value has been reduced by Columbia County Zoning Ordinance (CCZO) Section 500 (Primary Forest), and specifically Section 506.1 (providing that the minimum lot size for land divisions in the PF zone is 76 acres).

D. CLAIMANT'S ELIGIBILITY FOR FURTHER REVIEW

laimant acquired an interest in the property before CCZO Section 506.1 became effective and therefore Claimant may be eligible for compensation and/or waiver of the cited regulation under Measure 37.

E. STATEMENT AS TO HOW THE REGULATIONS RESTRICT USE

The Claimant states that he is unable to divide the property into 5 approximately two acre parcels due to Section 506.1 which requires a minimum parcel size of 76 acres.

F. EVIDENCE OF REDUCED FAIR MARKET VALUE

1. Value of the Property As Regulated.

According to the county assessor's records, the real market value of the subject land is \$154,000.

2. Value of Property Not Subject To Cited Regulations.

Claimant asserts that the property would be worth \$80,000 for a two acre lot if he were able to divide into smaller lots, Claimant's comparables do not fully support this, as three comparable sales of properties of a bit over two acres averaged to \$69,650.

3. Loss of value indicated in the submitted documents is:

Based on the information above, Claimant's land, if divided (without improvements) would be worth about \$329,096. This value is greater than the Assessor's land value of \$154,000.

While staff does not agree that the information provided by the Claimant is adequate to fully establish the current value of the property or the value of the property if it was not subject to CCZO sections 506.1, staff concedes that it is more likely than not that the property would have a higher value if divided into four to five arcels developed with dwellings.

G. COMPENSATION DEMANDED

\$246,000, per a hand written calculation submitted by Claimant showing "Reduction in Value".

(3) Subsection (1) of this act shall not apply to land use regulations:

(A) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law. This subsection shall be construed narrowly in favor of a finding of compensation under this act;

(B) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety, such as fire and building codes, health and sanitation regulations, solid or hazardous waste

regulations, and pollution control regulations:

(C) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law;

(D) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing. Nothing in this subsection, however, is intended to affect or alter rights provided by the Oregon or United States Constitutions; or

(E) Enacted prior to the date of acquisition of the property by the owner or a family member of the owner who owned the subject property prior to acquisition or inheritance by the owner,

whichever occurred first.

The challenged regulations do not qualify for any of the above exemptions.

Staff notes that other standards, including conditional use criteria and forest-related dwelling standards in effect in July 1984, fire suppression requirements, access requirements and requirements for adequate domestic water and subsurface sewage, continue to apply as they are exempt from compensation or waiver under Subsection 3(b), above.

(4) Just compensation under subsection (1) of this act shall be due the owner of the property if the land use regulation continues to be enforced against the property 180 days after the owner of the property makes written demand for compensation under this section to the public entity enacting or enforcing the land use regulation.

Should the Board determine that the Claimant has demonstrated a reduction in fair market value of the property due to the cited regulations, the Board may pay compensation in the amount of the reduction in fair market value caused by said regulation or in lieu of compensation, modify, remove, or not apply the provisions of CCZO Section 506.1 that prohibit land divisions that result in parcels of less than 76 acres.

(5) For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of this act, written demand for compensation under subsection (4) shall be made within two years of the effective date of this act, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner of the property, whichever is later. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of this act, written demand for compensation under subsection (4) shall be made within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

The subject claim arises from the minimum lot size provisions of the PF-76 zoning regulations which were enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 on December 2, 2004. The subject claims were filed on December 4, 2006. As December 2 fell on a Saturday, the county has accepted claims filed through the next usiness day, or December 4, 2006. Claimant's claim was timely filed.

(8) Notwithstanding any other state statute or the availability of funds under subsection (10) of this act, in lieu of payment of just compensation under this act, the governing body responsible for enacting the land use regulation may modify, remove, or not to apply the land use regulation or land use regulations to allow the owner to use the property for a use permitted at the time the owner acquired the property.

Should the Board determine that the Claimant has demonstrated a reduction in fair market value of the property due to the cited regulations, the Board may pay compensation in the amount of the reduction in fair market value caused by said regulation or in lieu of compensation, modify, remove, or not apply the regulations cited below.

III. STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the above findings, staff concludes that the Claimant has met the threshold requirements for proving a Measure 37 claim.

The following table summarizes staff findings concerning the land use regulations cited by the Claimant as a basis for their claim. In order to meet the requirements of Measure 37 for a valid claim the cited land use regulation must be found to restrict use, reduce fair market value, and not be one of the land use regulations exempted from Measure 37. The highlighted regulation below has been found to meet these requirements of a valid Measure 37 claim:

LAND USE CRITERION	DESCRIPTION	RESTRICTS USE?	REDUCES VALUE?	EXEMPT?
CCZO 506.1	Establishes a minimum lot size of 76 acres.	Yes	Yes	No

Staff recommends the Board of County Commissioners take action to determine the amount, if any, by which the cited regulations reduced the value of the Claimant's property, and act accordingly to pay just compensation in that amount, or, in the alternative, to not apply CCZO Section 506.1

ATTACHMENT 2

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Beginning at the Northwest corner of Government Lot 1 in Section 32, Township 5 North, Range 5 West, Willamette Meridian, Columbia County, Oregon; thence North 86°05' East along the North line of said Lot 1 a distance of 171.0 feet; thence South 52°43' East a distance of 385.09 feet; thence South 81°11' East a distance of 305.06 feet to the Westerly right of way line of the County Road; thence Southwesterly along said right of way line to the South line of the North half of said Government Lot 1; thence South 87°53' West along said South line a distance of 601.17 feet to the Southwest corner of said North half of Government Lot 1; thence North 6°07' East along the West line of said Government Lot 1 a distance of 779.84 feet to the point of beginning. EXCEPTING THEREFROM that portion conveyed to Columbia County by deed recorded August 21, 1979 in Book 226, page 01, Deed Records of Columbia County, Oregon.

Page 5 Report No. 07-57567